# RECORD OF TRIAL COVER SHEET

# IN THE MILITARY COMMISSION CASE OF

# UNITED STATES V.

ABDUL ZAHIR

ALSO KNOWN AS:

ABDUL BARI

No. 060001

VOLUME \_\_\_ OF \_\_\_ TOTAL VOLUMES

1<sup>ST</sup> VOLUME OF TRANSCRIPT: R. 1-82

APRIL 4 AND MAY 17, 2006 SESSIONS (REDACTED VERSION)

#### United States v. Abdul Zahir, No. 060001

#### INDEX OF VOLUMES

A more detailed index for each volume is included at the front of the particular volume concerned. An electronic copy of the redacted version of this record of trial is available at <a href="http://www.defenselink.mil/news/commissions.html">http://www.defenselink.mil/news/commissions.html</a>.

Some volumes have not been numbered on the covers. The numerical order for the volumes of the record of trial, as listed below, as well as the total number of volumes will change as litigation progresses and additional documents are added.

After trial is completed, the Presiding Officer will authenticate the final session transcript and exhibits, and the Appointing Authority will certify the records as administratively complete. The volumes of the record of trial will receive their final numbering just prior to the Appointing Authority's administrative certification.

Transcript and Review Exhibits are part of the record of trial, and are considered during appellate review. Volumes I-VI, however, are allied papers and as such are not part of the record of trial. Allied papers provide references, and show the administrative and historical processing of a case. Allied papers are not usually considered during appellate review. See generally United States v. Gonzalez, 60 M.J. 572, 574-575 (Army Ct. Crim. App. 2004) and cases cited therein discussing when allied papers may be considered during the military justice appellate process, which is governed by 10 U.S.C. § 866). For more information about allied papers in the military justice process, see Clerk of Military Commission administrative materials in Volume III.

<b>VOLUME</b>	
<b>NUMBER</b>	

SUBSTANCE OF CONTENTS

#### **ALLIED PAPERS Not part of "record of trial"**

Military Commission Primary References (Congressional Authorizations for Use of Force; Detainee Treatment Act; UCMJ articles; President's Military Order; Military Commission Orders; DoD Directive; Military Commission Instructions; Appointing Authority Regulations; Presiding Officer Memoranda—includes DoD rescinded publications)

II<sup>1</sup> Supreme Court Decisions: Rasul v. Bush, 542 U.S. 466 (2004); Johnson v. Eisentrager, 339 U.S. 763 (1950); In re Yamashita, 327 U.S. 1 (1946); Ex Parte Quirin, 317 U.S. 1 (1942); Ex Parte Milligan, 71 U.S. 2 (1866)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Interim volume numbers. Final numbers to be added when trial is completed.

# United States v. Ali Hamza Sulayman Zahir, No. 060001

#### **INDEX OF VOLUMES**

VOLUME NUMBER	SUBSTANCE OF CONTENTS
$\mathbf{III}^2$	DoD Decisions on Commissions including Appointing Authority orders and decisions, Chief Clerk of Commissions documents
$IV^2$	Federal Litigation in <i>Hamdan v. Rumsfeld</i> , at U.S. Supreme Court and D.C. Circuit
$\mathbf{V}^2$	Federal Litigation at U.S. District Courts Not Filed by Counsel in United States v. Zahir
$VI^2$	Selected filings and U.S. District Court decisions in <i>United States</i> v. Zahir

#### **Record of Trial**

$VII^2$	Transcript (R. 1-82) (April 4, and May 17, 2006 sessions)
$VIII^2$	Review Exhibits 1-24 (April 4, 2006 session)
$IX^2$	Review Exhibits 25-36 (May 17, 2006 session)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Interim volume numbers. Final numbers to be added when trial is completed.

#### **VOLUME I OF TRANSCRIPT**

#### **United States v. Abdul Zahir**

# **Index of Transcript**

#### FIRST SESSION:

#### April 4, 2006

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
List of Persons Present at Hearing	<u>1, 4</u>
Defense translator agrees to provide all translations for the Commission. (The Accused's language is Farsi.)	<u>1-2</u>
Appointing Order presented to Commission (RE 5)	<u>2-3</u>
President's Reason To Believe Determination presented to Commission (RE 1)	<u>3</u>
Charge Sheet ( <u>RE 2</u> ), Approval of Charges ( <u>RE 3</u> ), and Referral To Commission ( <u>RE 4</u> ) were presented to the Commission	<u>3</u>
Charges were served in English, Arabic and Pashto on Feb. 4, 20 ( <u>RE 22</u> ). Arabic Charges ( <u>RE 20</u> ) and Pashto Charges ( <u>RE 21</u> are provided to the Commission.	
Parties were previously sworn	<u>5</u>
Clerk for Commissions will verify translation after hearing	<u>5</u>
Prosecutors were properly appointed (REs 10 & 23) and sworn	<u>6</u>
Defense Counsel was properly appointed (RE 6) and sworn	<u>7</u>
Presiding Officer tasked the Prosecutors to provide Charges in Farsi to the Accused and to advise the Presiding Officer and Defense Counsel when the task is completed	<u>8-10</u>

# United States v. Abdul Zahir

# INDEX (Cont.)

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
Presiding Officer explains the Accused's rights to counsel. The Accused said in the future he would ask for a civilian counsel.	<u>11-16</u>
The Presiding Officer indicates he has entered the transcript and Review Exhibits from Khadr as Review Exhibits in Zahir, and added supplemental voir dire information (REs 14, 15 & 17).	<u>17-18</u>
The Presiding Officer provides some additional clarifying informati about his military record.	on <u>19-21</u>
Defense Counsel voir dire of Presiding Officer. The Presiding Officer's bar membership is currently inactive (R. 23). The Presiding Officer described the following matters:	<u>23-63</u>
(1) his family	<u>23-24</u>
(2) his military and legal background	<b>25-28</b>
(3) his views on some military Commission procedures	28-34
(4) his plans for future employment after his military retirement. (He said he wanted to be a judge in the civil sector.)	<u>34-35</u>
(5) his research into areas relevant to Commission procedur and the placement of some legal articles and cases in the Commission Library	es, <u>34-45</u>
(6) how he was selected to be a Commission Presiding Officer and how he learned of this selection	43-49
(7) his reading and television preferences	<u>50-56</u>
(8) his knowledge about Commission prior to being selected to be a Presiding Officer	<u>57-58</u>
(9) his service in Iraq	59-62

# United States v. Abdul Zahir

# INDEX (Cont.)

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
The Government did not challenge the Presiding Officer.	<u>63</u>
The Defense Counsel did not challenge the Presiding Officer, but would have an opportunity to do so after reviewing the voir dire in Khadr which was expected to occur the following day.	
Reading of the Charges was waived.	<u>65-66</u>
The Parties agreed to abide by the three Protective Orders issued by the Presiding Officer.	1 <u>66-68</u>
The Presiding Officer approved the Defense Counsel's request to reserve pleas and motions.	<u>68-70</u>
Arraignment.	<u>69-7(</u>
Scheduling of motions.	<del>70-73</del>
Hearing recessed on Apr. 4, 2006	<u>74</u>
Authentication page for pages 1-74 of this hearing	<u>75</u>

# United States v. Abdul Zahir

# INDEX (Cont.)

DESCRIPTION	PAGE #
SECOND SESSION:	
May 17, 2006	
List of Persons Present at Hearing	<u>76-77</u>
Translator's curriculum vitae presented to the Commission (REs 34 and 35) (Sealed).	<u>77</u>
Defense motion concerning movement of the Accused from Camp 4 to Camp 5 ( <u>RE 30</u> ), a Defense witness request in connection with the Defense motion ( <u>RE 31</u> ), and the Government's response ( <u>RE 32</u> ), were presented to the Commission.	<u>78</u>
The Defense withdrew the motion, conceding the attorney-client relationship is good and not strained or adversely affected by confinement at Camp 5. Additionally, the Defense did receive the necessary access to requested witness.	<u>78-80</u>
Hearing recessed on May 17, 2006	<u>81</u>
Authentication page for pages 76-82 of this hearing	82

The Commissions Hearing was called to order at 0917, 4 1 2 April 2006. 3 4 [Throughout this transcript, Colonel Robert S. Chester, U.S. Marine Corps, will be referred to as the Presiding 5 Officer or PO. Captain U.S. Air Force 6 7 Reserve, will be referred to as the Prosecutor or PROS. U.S. Army, will be referred to as 8 Major 9 Assistant Prosecutor 1 or APROS1. Captain 10 U.S. Army, will be referred to as Assistant Prosecutor 2 or 11 APROS2. Lieutenant Colonel Thomas J. Bogar, U.S. Army Reserve, will be referred to as Defense Counsel or DC. 1 12 13 14 Presiding Officer: The Commission will come to order. 15 Before you start, Captain, what I want to do 16 right off the bat is take up the issue of the 17 translator. We had an 8-5 this morning, in which 18 the prosecution and defense counsel were present. 19 We agreed that the defense translator would translate and handle all the translation duties 20 21 this morning.

Defense counsel, are you amenable to that?

22

1	DC:	Yes, sir.						
2								
3	Presiding	Officer: And you are satisfied that your						
4		translator, can in fact, handle both duties?						
5								
6	DC:	Yes, sir.						
7								
8	Presiding	Officer: All right. If it does become a						
9		problem, please let me know and we will find an						
10		alternative, and if necessary, postpone						
11		proceedings until later in the week, all right?						
12								
13	DC:	Yes, sir.						
14								
15	Presiding	Officer: And I do appreciate the defense						
16		agreeing to having your translator handle all the						
17		duties this morning.						
18								
19		Prosecution?						
20								
21	PROS:	Yes, sir. This military Commission is appointed						
22		by Appointing Order Number 06-0001, dated January						
23		18 <sup>th</sup> , 2006, copies of which have been furnished to						

1		the Presiding Officer, counsel, and the Accused,
2		and which have been marked as Review Exhibit 5
3		and attached to the record.
4		
5		The presidential determination that the Accused
6		may be subject to trial by military Commission
7		has been marked as Review Exhibit 1 and has been
8		previously shown to the defense. Review Exhibit
9		1 has been provided to the Presiding Officer.
10		Sir, it has been previously marked and I believe
11		you have it.
12		
13	Presiding	Officer: I do.
14		
15	PROS:	The charges have been marked as Appellate Exhibit
16		2, and have been properly approved by the
17		appointing authority and referred to this
18		Commission for trial. The approval of the
19		charges and their referral to this Commission
20		have been marked as Review Exhibit 3 and 4,
21		respectively.
22		

1	The prosecution caused a copy of the charges in
2	English, Arabic, and Pashto, one of the Accused's
3	native languages, to be served on the Accused on
4	February 4th, 2006. A copy of the charges in
5	Arabic, and Pashto are attached to the record as
6	Review Exhibit 20 and 21, respectively. The
7	service of charges has been marked as Review
8	Exhibit 22.
9	
10	The prosecution is ready to proceed in the
11	Commission trial of <u>United States versus Abdul</u>
12	Zahir. The Accused and the following personnel
13	detailed to this Commission are present:
14	
15	Colonel Robert S. Chester, Presiding Officer;
16	Captain Prosecutor;
17	Captain Assistant Prosecutor;
18	Major Assistant Prosecutor;
19	Lieutenant Colonel Thomas Bogar, Detailed Defense
20	Counsel;
21	Lieutenant has been
22	detailed to this Commission, was also part of the

1		prosecution team, but has been previously excused
2		from appearing at this session.
3		
4		A court reporter has been detailed for this
5		Commission and has been previously sworn.
6		Security personnel have been detailed for this
7		Commission, and have been previously sworn.
8		
9	Presiding	Officer: Thank you. I have been detailed the
10		Presiding Officer of this Commission and I have
11		been previously sworn.
12		
13		One other matter that I did want to mention
14		concerning the translation is that when we are
15		done here, a copy of the audio from the court
16		reporter will be provided to the Clerk of the
17		Military Commissions to have a military
18		commission just verify the translation and the
19		accuracy, and I believe that both sides have
20		agreed to that as well. Is that correct?
21		
22	DC:	Yes, sir.
23		

1 PROS: Yes, sir. 2 3 Presiding Officer: Thank you. Captain would you 4 please indicate the legal qualifications, 5 detailing, and whether the prosecution team has been sworn? 6 7 8 PROS: Yes, sir. All members of the prosecution have 9 been detailed to this Commission by the Chief, 10 Prosecutor. All members of the prosecution are 11 qualified under Military Commission Order Number 12 1, paragraph 4(b), and we have been previously 13 been sworn. No member of the prosecution has acted in any manner, which might tend to 14 15 disqualify us in this proceeding. The detailing document has been marked as Review Exhibit 10 and 16 17 as amended by Review Exhibit 23. The prosecution 18 also has sitting at the prosecution table a 19 paralegal who will assist the prosecution but 20 will not be representing the government. 21 22 Presiding Officer: Thank you. Colonel Bogar?

```
1
              Yes, sir. I have been detailed to this military
    DC:
              commission by the Chief, Defense Counsel. I am
2
              qualified under Military Commission Number 1,
3
              paragraph 4(c), and I have previously been sworn.
4
5
              I have not acted in any manner which might tend
6
              to disqualify me in this proceeding. The
              document detailing counsel was marked as Review
7
8
              Exhibit 6.
9
    Presiding Officer: I take it, Colonel Bogar, you are the
10
11
              only defense counsel in this case?
12
13
              Yes, sir.
    DC:
14
15
    Presiding Officer: Let me ask you, while I have got you on
16
              your feet, the trial counsel indicated that the
17
              charges had been translated and served on the
              Accused in English, Arabic, and Pashto. I
18
19
              understood the Accused's native language was
20
              Farsi. Is the translation sufficient for him, or
21
              does it need to be translated into Farsi?
22
23
    DC:
              If I may have a moment to ask him?
```

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: You may.
3
    [The DC coffered with the ACC.]
4
5
6
    DC:
              My client advises me that the documents were in
7
              Pashto and his preference would be that the be in
8
              Farsi.
9
10
    Presiding Officer: All right. Captain
                                             I will task
11
              you to see to that and have them served on the
              Accused as your earliest opportunity and advise
12
13
              myself once that has been done.
14
              Yes, sir.
15
    PROS:
16
17
    Presiding Officer: Myself as well as the defense counsel.
18
19
              Yes, sir.
    PROS:
20
21
    Presiding Officer: Are you still prepared to proceed this
22
              morning, Colonel Bogar, even though they have not
23
              been translated into Farsi?
```

```
1
2
    DC:
           One moment.
3
    [The DC conferred with the ACC.]
4
5
              We are good to go.
6
    DC:
7
8
    Presiding Officer: All right, thank you. And as--I am
              sorry, Captain
9
10
11
              Sir, the--we were explained by the translator
    PROS:
              that the defense has--that the charges were
12
              translated in Farsi for the Accused but if the
13
14
              Accused still wants a Farsi translation, we will
15
              provide him one.
16
    Presiding Officer: I am sorry, I don't follow you.
17
18
19
              Our understanding was that the translator, the
    PROS:
20
              defense translator, translated----
21
22
    Presiding Officer: Oh, okay. He did it orally?
23
```

```
1
    PROS: I believe so.
2
    Presiding Officer: All right, well the requirement, I
3
4
              believe, and is, at least for this proceeding,
              that they be translated in writing and served on
5
6
              the Accused in Farsi.
7
    PROS:
              Yes, sir.
8
9
    Presiding Officer: So there is no question there.
10
11
             Okay, sir.
    PROS:
12
13
    Presiding Officer: I want that done.
14
              Yes, sir.
15
    PROS:
16
17
    Presiding Officer: And I think it is clear on the record
              that the Accused does need the services of a
18
19
              translator, does have those services available.
20
              As I indicated earlier, if that becomes a problem
21
              during this session, Colonel Bogar, please let me
22
              know and we will take steps to resolve it.
```

1 DC: Yes, sir. 2 3 Presiding Officer: Mr. Zahir, pursuant to Military Commission Order Number 1, you are represented by 4 Lieutenant Colonel Bogar, who serves as your 5 6 Detailed Defense Counsel. You may also request a 7 different military lawyer to represent you. If 8 the person you request is reasonable available, 9 he or she would be appointed to represent you as 10 your Detailed Defense Counsel. If you are 11 represented by Detailed Defense Counsel of your 12 own choice, you would normally lose the services 13 of Lieutenant Colonel Bogar, however, you may 14 request that Colonel Bogar remain on your case, 15 and the authority that detailed him, that is the 16 Chief Defense Counsel, in his sole discretion 17 could either grant or deny your request. Do you 18 understand this? 19 20 ACC: Yes. 21 22 Presiding Officer: Detailed defense counsel are provided 23 to you free of charge. Do you understand this?

2 ACC: Yes.

3 4 Presiding Officer: In addition to Detailed Defense 5 Counsel, you may be represented by a qualified civilian lawyer. A civilian lawyer would 6 7 represent you at no expense to the United States 8 Government. To be qualified, a Civilian Defense 9 Counsel must be a U.S. Citizen, admitted to 10 practice law in a state, district, territory or 11 possession of the United States or a federal 12 court, may not have been sanctioned or 13 disciplined for any relevant misconduct, be 14 eligible for a secret security clearance, and 15 agree, in writing, to comply with the orders, 16 rules and regulations of Military Commissions. 17 If a civilian lawyer represents you, your 18 Detailed Defense Counsel will continue to 19 represent you and the Detailed Defense Counsel 20 will be permitted to be present during the presentation of all evidence. Do you understand 21 22 what I have just explained to you?

```
1
   ACC:
              Yes.
2
    Presiding Officer: Do you have any questions about your
3
              right to counsel?
4
5
6
   ACC:
              No.
7
    Presiding Officer: Do you desire to be represented by
8
9
              Colonel Bogar?
10
11
    ACC:
              Yes.
12
    Presiding Officer: Do you want any other defense counsel?
13
14
              I would like to have more, but I would like
15
    ACC:
16
              Colonel Tom to help me in that regard.
17
    Presiding Officer: And when you say you would like more,
18
              is it your desire to be represented by an
19
20
              additional military counsel, or by a civilian
              counsel? Let me make it easy, why don't you take
21
22
              a moment and discuss it with Colonel Bogar.
```

```
1
   DC: Thank you, sir.
2
3
    [The DC conferred with the ACC.]
4
5
   Presiding Officer: Colonel Bogar?
6
7
   DC: Yes, sir.
8
   Presiding Officer: Would you like a short recess?
9
10
11
   DC: No, sir. We are fine.
12
13
    [The DC conferred with the ACC.]
14
   DC: Okay, Your Honor.
15
16
17
    Presiding Officer: Have you had a chance to discuss it
18
             with him?
19
20
   DC: Yes, sir.
21
22
    Presiding Officer: Mr. Zahir, do you understand your
23
             rights to counsel?
```

```
1
2
    ACC:
             Yes.
3
    Presiding Officer: And do you want an additional military
4
5
              counsel?
6
7
    ACC:
             No, right now is sufficient.
8
9
    Presiding Officer: All right, do you want a civilian
10
              counsel?
11
12
              In the future, of course, I will ask for one.
    ACC:
13
14
    Presiding Officer: What I will task you to do, Colonel
15
              Bogar, is stay in contact with your client,
16
              assist him should he either desire to request
17
              individual Detailed Defense Counsel, or
18
              additional Detailed Defense Counsel, or if he
19
              desires to seek the services of a civilian
20
              counsel, assist him in doing that, all right?
21
22
    DC:
              Roger.
```

Presiding Officer: And unless I hear back from you, I will 1 2 assume that is in your hands and that you have got it for action. 3 5 Yes, sir. DC: 6 Presiding Officer: Thank you. Prior to today's session, 7 the defense counsel had asked to be able to 8 consider the questionnaire that I provided to the defense in the case of U.S. v. Khadr, which I 10 11 agreed to, as well as the biography that I 12 provided in that case. The defense also submitted some additional questions which I 13 14 responded to and I believe those have all been--15 also the voir dire questions that were submitted 16 to me on the record by the government in U.S. v. 17 Khadr, and I agreed that all of those would 18 become a part of the record for this case and 19 would be considered a part of the voir dire 20 process, and those have been marked as Review Exhibits and I believe that both sides have 21

22

copies of those. Is that correct?

```
1
             Yes, sir.
    PROS:
2
3
    DC:
             Yes. Sir.
4
5
    Presiding Officer: All right. Does the government desire
6
              to ask any additional voir dire questions?
7
8
              Yes, sir. Just a couple. Sir, as you stated, as
    PROS:
9
              per defense request from March 9th, 2006, to
10
              incorporate Review Exhibit 29 from U.S. versus
11
              Khadr----
12
13
    Presiding Officer: I am not -- is that the questionnaire?
14
15
    PROS:
              Sir, yes, sir. As it applies to U.S. versus
16
              Zahir----
17
18
    Presiding Officer: Right, and I believe that is marked as
19
              RE 14.
20
21
    PROS:
              Yes, sir, RE 14. Also, defense requested to
22
              include, on the record voir dire of the Presiding
23
              Officer in United States versus Khadr.
```

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: That is marked as RE 15. Where are we
3
              going?
4
5
    PROS: Yes, sir, RE 14.
6
7
    Presiding Officer: 15.
8
9
              Sir, you granted the request on March 9th as
    PROS:
10
              well.
11
    Presiding Officer: Do you have questions for me?
12
13
14
    PROS:
              I do, sir. Have you reviewed the answers to the
15
              questions that were asked during voir dire in
16
              U.S. versus Khadr?
17
    Presiding Officer: I have, and I believe in RE 17, which
18
19
              is the additional questions by the defense, I
20
              provided a clarification to question B5 of the
21
              Khadr questionnaire.
22
```

```
Sir, in addition to that, do you need to change
1
   PROS:
              or supplement any other answers today?
2
3
    Presiding Officer: No, I don't believe so.
4
5
              Is there any new matter not reflected in RE 14
6
    PROS:
7
              and 15?
8
    Presiding Officer: I am not sure if it is in--it's
9
              actually in the bio, which I don't see here but
10
              thought the defense had. Do you have a copy of
11
12
              that, Colonel Bogar?
13
             Of your bio, sir?
14
    DC:
15
    Presiding Officer: Yes. I got a legion of merit for an
16
              end of career award, my retirement award.
17
              is not reflected in there. The only other thing
18
              is that I received, and I think it falls under
19
20
              the education thing; I received a Certificate of
              Judicial Methodology from the National Judicial
21
22
              College, I think since I completed the bio and
23
              questionnaire in Khadr.
```

1 2 PROS: Thank you, sir. Any----3 4 Presiding Officer: Hold on a second. As to the update, I 5 had indicated that I provided legal advice to the 1st MEB Commander, who is actually General 7 Mattis, who was dual-hatted at the time as the 8 Deputy, I MEF Commander. There was an issue that 9 came up when he was deployed to Afghanistan 10 having to do with women in combat. The Staff 11 Judge Advocate for Marine Forces Pacific, who was 12 providing the--also served as the Staff Judge Advocate for Marine Forces Central Command, which 13 14 I chopped, which was provided to the Chief of 15 Staff of Marine Forces Pacific and ultimately 16 General Mattis' Chief of Staff, concerning the 17 subject. That would have constituted the only 18 legal advice that I provided him concerning the 19 operations in Afghanistan. 20

Sir, does giving legal advice in that particular

area, women in combat, as you have indicated,

21

22

PROS:

```
does that impair your ability to serve as
1
              Presiding Officer in this Commission?
2
3
4
    Presiding Officer: No.
5
              Sir, as you sit here today as Presiding Officer,
6
    PROS:
7
              do you feel completely free and independent to
              ensure that the Accused receives a full, fair,
8
9
              and impartial trial?
10
11
    Presiding Officer: I do.
12
13
              One moment, Your Honor. That is it, sir.
    PROS:
14
    Presiding Officer: Thank you. Colonel Bogar?
15
16
17
             Yes, sir. A few follow-up questions, if I may.
    DC:
18
19
    Presiding Officer: If you are going to ask a follow-up on
20
              one of those that is in the materials that I
21
              provided, if you could refer me to it.
22
```

1 DC: I will. Every question will be referred. Either 2 to the written interrogatories I submitted to you 3 and you answered or to your CV and whatnot, I will preface you. To begin, on your CV----4 5 6 Presiding Officer: I don't think it qualifies as a CV. 7 is a bio. 8 9 A bio, excuse me. You indicated that you were DC: 10 licensed in Idaho and in California. Are you 11 still active in both states? 12 Presiding Officer: I am not active in either state. 13 14 me clarify that. When I was originally licensed 15 in Idaho, back in 1982, because I was not 16 practicing within the state, you could not be an 17 active member of the Idaho Bar. After I was 18 certified as a Judge Advocate, about 2 years 19 later I took the California Bar. Because I was 20 not actively practicing in California, I had an inactive status. Probably 2 years ago I had my 21 22 status in Idaho changed to active, and it was

subsequently changed back to inactive. I have

```
complied and am current, although as an inactive
1
              member you don't have to, I am current on CLE for
2
              both jurisdictions.
3
4
5
              You just answered my follow-up question.
    DC:
6
7
    Presiding Officer: To include ethics.
8
9
              Roger. Just for clarification, I understand some
    DC:
10
              states do have military inactive status. Is your
11
              inactive status that you are currently on, or is
              it something different?
12
13
14
    Presiding Officer: No, it is not tied in anyway to the
15
              military. It is just inactive status. I think
16
              Idaho refers to it as, I want to say an affiliate
17
              member and California refers to it as an inactive
18
              status, but it is the same, whatever you call it.
19
              It is the same. I pay them money every year.
20
21
    ACC:
              On your bio you also indicated that you
22
```

1	Presiding	Officer:	No, that	is incor	rect.	They a	re
2		currently					
3							
4	DC:		sorry.	And you	are cur	rently	<b>*</b>
5							
6	Presiding	Officer:					
7							
8	DC:	Do any of	those	peopl	e hold	any po	sitions
9		for pay of	r otherwi	se, where	by a re	asonab	le person
10		may quest	ion your	impartial	ity?		
11							
12	Presiding	Officer:	No, they	are not	in anyw	vay ass	ociated
13		with the	governmer	it.			
14							
15							
16							
17	INTERPRETI	ER:	Your Hor	or, I did	n't hea	ar the	last
18		part.					
19							
20	Presiding	Officer:					
21							
22							
23							

```
1
    DC:
              On your bio, you indicated that you split time as
              a defense counsel and a trial counsel during
2
              three terms, 1982 through 1984--do you have your
3
              bio in front of you? I could----
4
5
    Presiding Officer: I don't, but go ahead.
6
7
8
              From 1982 to 1984, 1986 to 1988, and 1988 to
    DC:
9
              1990.
10
    Presiding Officer: '88 to '90, I was the Deputy Staff
11
              Judge Advocate at Second Marine Division, 2 MEF,
12
13
              so if that is what I put in there, it is a typo.
14
15
    DC:
              And, you put--it says, "and served as trial
              counsel and Senior Defense Counsel." Is that not
16
17
              correct?
18
19
    Presiding Officer: Give me the dates again.
20
              1988 to 1990.
21
    DC:
22
    [The PROS handed a copy of the bio to the PO.]
23
```

1		
2	Presiding	Officer: What dates were you asking me about?
3		
4	DC:	1988 to 1990.
5		
6	Presiding	Officer: Yes, that is correct. '90 to '92 I was
7		the Deputy Staff Judge Advocate for 2nd Marine
8		Division of 2 MEF,
9		
10	DC:	For each of those periods that you are looking at
11		that I indicated, can you tell me how much time,
12		approximately to the best of your recollection,
13		you spent as a defense counsel versus the time
14		you spent as a trial counsel?
15		
16	Presiding	Officer: I believe from '82 to '84, I spent
17		approximately 6 months as a trial counsel and
18		then I became a defense counsel. I spent the
19		rest of the time as a defense counsel. In '96, I
20		was not a prosecutor. I was the assistant OIC
21		and I spent the first year as the Senior Defense
22		Counsel where I had, it usually averaged
23		somewhere around 11 defense counsel working for

```
me, and in addition I was also defending cases.
1
              Then I spent the other part of the remaining
2
3
              time, again which was approximately a year, as
              the Assistant OIC of the Legal Services Support
4
5
              Section. In '88 to '90 I spent the first year as
6
              a prosecutor and the second year as the Senior
7
              Defense Counsel, and I believe I had, I want to
              say about eight defense counsel working for me,
8
9
              approximately.
10
11
              As the Senior Defense Counsel, I think you
    DC:
12
              indicated that you had taken on some cases
13
              yourself in that second period. Did you do the
14
              same during that third period?
15
    Presiding Officer: '88 to 90, you mean?
16
17
18
             Yes, sir.
    DC:
19
20
    Presiding Officer: Yes, in fact, I defended a capital
21
              murder case during that time period.
```

```
1
    DC:
              Okay, as a follow-up to the interrogatories now,
2
              the written questions that I submitted to you.
3
4
    Presiding Officer: You are talking about RE 17?
5
6
   DC: Yes, sir.
7
8
    Presiding Officer: Captain
9
10
    [The PO handed the copy of his bio back to the PROS.]
11
12
    Presiding Officer:
                       Okay.
13
14
    DC:
              Question number 10, you indicated -- the question
15
              was, "You are familiar with the Commission
16
              procedural rules, otherwise referred to as the,
              quote, POMs----
17
18
19
    Presiding Officer: POMs.
20
21
             POMs, correct.
    DC:
22
```

Presiding Officer: Short for Presiding Officer Memorandum.

1 Thank you. "are there any instances when a DC: 2 Presiding Officer should or must raise a motion 3 sua sponte, and your answer was, "Ask in court." 4 5 Presiding Officer: I think motion is a bad word. A 6 Presiding Officer and judges don't make motions. 7 They may raise issues or raise matters to the 8 attention of counsel for resolution sua sponte. 9 And, yes, I think there are times when a 10 Presiding Officer will need to raise things sua 11 sponte. If you are going to ask me what they 12 are, to try to list them, it is impossible. It 13 depends strictly on what has happened in the 14 court. This morning I raised sua sponte the 15 issue of the translation of the charge sheet. 16 That is an example. My role is to ensure a full 17 and fair trial. If I see things that are denying 18 19 either side that, then I think it is my obligation to raise the matter to the attention 20 21 to counsel and attempt to resolve it, always keeping in mind that it is the responsibility of 22 counsel to try their cases. 23

1		
2	DC:	If during the course of the proceeding or trial,
3		after we finalize voir dire of the Presiding
4		Officer, if an otherwise unforeseen fact or
5		circumstance were to be made to the Presiding
6		Officer, yourself, so that the Presiding Officer
7		could no longer preside as an impartial arbiter
8		of the law, would that be a reason for a
9		Presiding Officer to raise an issue or a motion,
10		or how ever you put it?
11		
12	Presiding	Officer: You are asking if something came to
13		light after we completed the challenge process?
14		
15	DC:	Yes, sir.
16		
17	Presiding	Officer: Well let me answer it this way. Even
18		if it did not disqualify me, if some issue came
19		to light that I felt might cause a question, I
20		would raise it with counsel. Whether it
21		disqualified me or not is not, I think, the
22		operative or the controlling factor; it is
23		whether it is something that should be brought to

1		the attention of counsel and I would bring it to
2		the attention to counsel and give them the
3		opportunity to delve into it if they want to, in
4		a matter I think appropriate within the limits I
5		would place on it depending on what it is. Much
6		like the reopening of voir dire, if you will.
7		
8	DC:	If the fact and circumstances to which I alluded
9		to were to cause you, as the Presiding Officer,
10		to feel he could not be fair and impartial, would
11		you feel compelled to disqualify yourself?
12		
12		
13	Presiding	Officer: Yes.
	Presiding	Officer: Yes.
13	Presiding DC:	Officer: Yes.  I direct your attention to question number 15.
13 14		
13 14 15		I direct your attention to question number 15.
13 14 15 16		I direct your attention to question number 15.  Again, there is a question there, generally
13 14 15 16 17		I direct your attention to question number 15.  Again, there is a question there, generally speaking, what areas of law will be particularly
13 14 15 16 17 18		I direct your attention to question number 15.  Again, there is a question there, generally speaking, what areas of law will be particularly relevant throughout the military commission
13 14 15 16 17 18	DC:	I direct your attention to question number 15.  Again, there is a question there, generally speaking, what areas of law will be particularly relevant throughout the military commission
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DC:	I direct your attention to question number 15.  Again, there is a question there, generally speaking, what areas of law will be particularly relevant throughout the military commission process. You asked me to ask you in court.

we are going to look at military criminal law, the UCMJ if you will, and the regulations and things that go along with that. We will probably look at Federal criminal law and procedure. I think all of those areas, while not controlling, certainly are places I think that smart people would look to assist us in filling gaps, whether a procedural gap or--I mean, I have heard a lot of discussion about that the rules of procedure for these commissions are not completely fleshed out. 

There are volumes in most every jurisdiction where the courts are interpreting and filling gaps in procedural rule. That is a large part of what appellate courts do. Often they look to other jurisdictions to see how they followed it and adopt a similar rule.

DC:

As a follow-up question, with regards to--and generally speaking, not necessarily with this case in particular, but generally speaking, as to the commission process, you indicated

1		international laws, some sources of UCMJ, some
2		federal criminal law; would a Presiding Officer
3		deem them as persuasive authority orlet me ask
4		you this, how would a Presiding Officer consider
5		those sources of law?
6		
7	Presiding	Officer: The question is too vague. You are
8		asking me to tell you whether or not
9		international law is going to be controlling,
10		whether the UCMJ would be controlling; it is too
11		vague a question. I am not going to speculate as
12		to what would be controlling under what
13		circumstances. I will leave that until you make
14		a motion and we litigate it.
15		
16	DC:	Fair enough. Is any law controlling?
17		
18	Presiding	Officer: I am sure some are.
19		
20	DC:	Have you thought about that?
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: Again, I am not going to attempt to say
23		which piece of lawwhat out there is

1		controlling. If you want to give me a motion
2		with a specific issue, I will decide the issue,
3		but I am not going to speculate what is or is not
4		controlling.
5		
6	DC:	Question number 19 on the interrogatories; you
7		answered the question regarding political
8		aspirations, and you answered, "Not other than a
9		judicial position." Clarification if I may?
10		Does that mean you are considering running for a
11		political
12		
13	Presiding	Officer: No.
13 14	Presiding	Officer: No.
	Presiding DC:	Officer: No.  Is that an appointed job?
14		
14 15	DC:	
14 15 16	DC:	Is that an appointed job?
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	DC:	<pre>Is that an appointed job?  Officer: No, I was just trying to indicate that</pre>
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	DC:	Is that an appointed job?  Officer: No, I was just trying to indicate that over the last 30 years the job that I have
14 15 16 17 18	DC:	Is that an appointed job?  Officer: No, I was just trying to indicate that over the last 30 years the job that I have enjoyed doing most and I think I am particularly
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DC:	Is that an appointed job?  Officer: No, I was just trying to indicate that over the last 30 years the job that I have enjoyed doing most and I think I am particularly good at is being a trial judge and I would like

```
indicated that I applied for a immigration law
1
              judge before I was appointed as a Presiding
2
              Officer. That is a--I consider that a somewhat
3
              political appointment. I got to admit, I am a
4
              neophyte in that area. You know, if you asked me
5
              what I would do when I grow up; I would be a
6
7
              judge.
8
9
   DC:
              Thank you.
10
    Presiding Officer: Anything else on that?
11
12
              No, sir. Next question. Number 22. On the same
13
    DC:
              set, you indicated that you read too many
14
              articles, whether a law review or a news article,
15
16
              regarding the military commissions to list.
              you can, can you provide me any titles, or
17
              authors, any law reviews that you may remember
18
              reading that you felt most significant or
19
20
              influential, if you may?
21
    Presiding Officer: No. I will say that what I have done
22
23
              is gone into Lexis and done searches and pulled
```

1 up articles on issues that I believe may become 2 relevant during these proceedings. There was on in particular that deals with due process at 3 Nuremberg that I did believe that it was 4 5 particularly helpful. 6 7 DC: Okav. 8 9 Presiding Officer: All of the articles that I have pulled 10 down and read I have provided to Mr. Harvey, the 11 Chief Clerk of the Commissions for inclusion in 12 the Commission Library so it could be available to all counsel. Whether I felt they were 13 14 particularly helpful or not; I felt the Nuremberg 15 article was particularly helpful. 16 17 There was also a Supreme Court case and I never 18 remember citations. Some people are good at 19 that. I am not. The Supreme Court case I 20 thought was particularly helpful because it 21 talked about due process. If you want to hit me 22 with a question when we got done here, I will

provide the articles to you. They are available.

```
1
2
             All right.
    DC:
3
4
    Presiding Officer: They should be available. I don't know
5
              if Mr. Harvey has actually posted them or not. I
6
              provided several to him at the last--probably 20
7
              articles to him at the last term of the
              Commissions here at Guantanamo.
8
9
              For the record, they are in the Commission
10
    DC:
11
              library, but there is no indication as to whom
12
              they were downloaded -- so I will take you up on
13
              your offer to find out what you found interesting
14
              off the record--and find out what you found
15
              interesting.
16
17
              With regards to the Supreme Court Case that you
18
              read, was that, if I may just delve into your
19
              memory a little bit and if I can just weed that
20
              out; was that a recent case or an older case?
21
22
    Presiding Officer: I don't know how you define recent.
```

```
1
   DC: Recent as----
2
   Presiding Officer: I believe it was within----
3
4
   DC: ---in our lifetime, or my lifetime.
5
6
   Presiding Officer: Then it was recent. So I am ancient,
7
8
              is that it?
9
10
   DC:
             Not necessarily. Your lifetime, maybe mine. I
11
              just----
12
    Presiding Officer: I believe it was within the last--I
13
             want to say within the last 10 to 15 years. I
14
             consider that to be a fairly current precedent.
15
16
    DC: Did it deal with Commissions or something else?
17
18
    Presiding Officer: I believe it dealt with the application
19
              of the Fifth and Sixth Amendment to aliens
20
              outside the United States, non-U.S. citizens
21
22
              outside the United States. And as I recall, the
              fact pattern something to do with a drug
23
```

enforcement, DEA, was down in Mexico. They had apprehended a -- they didn't apprehend him, the Mexican authorities had apprehended a Mexican national for drug distribution in the United States and turned him over to the U.S. and he was brought back into the U.S. Right after he got here, the DEA asked the Mexican authorities to search his residence, which they did, without any--without any search warrant, without any magistrate reviewing the issue, so the case dealt with whether or not the--I believe it was--they were addressing the Fourth or Sixth Amendment, the lesser extent they touched on the Fifth Amendment and its applicability to aliens with virtually no connection to the United States and the actions took place outside of the United States by authorities from another nation. Whether there was any requirement for a magistrate or judge to issue a search warrant before the DEA could have asked the Mexican authority or the foreign authority to search the residence of the Accused in that case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	DC:	Withoutagain, without going into this case in
2		particular specifically but generally speaking,
3		did you find any relevance of that case to the
4		Commission process?
5		
6	Presiding	Officer: Yes.
7		
8	DC:	How so?
9		
10	Presiding	Officer: It has to do with the application of
11		the amendments to the U.S. Constitution before a
12		national outside the United States. We are
13		outside the United States. The Accused, I
14		believe, is a foreign national. And if you are
15		asking me, is it controlling, what weight I would
16		give it, how would I apply it? I have no idea.
17		I just felt the issues were relevant to what we
18		are doing here.
19		
20	DC:	Okay.
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: And, actually, that case was provided
23		to me by one of the other Presiding Officers.

```
1
2
    DC:
              Speaking of the Supreme Court, have you followed
              the Hamdan case recently or the court arguments?
3
4
    Presiding Officer: I read--I read the--some of the news
5
6
              accounts of it.
7
8
              Have you read any of the resubmitted on behalf of
    DC:
9
              the Hamdan?
10
11
    Presiding Officer: I might have, I might have. I know I
12
              read some of Hamdan briefs. I don't remember if
              they were those at the district court level or
13
14
              the circuit court level, but I have read some of
              those briefs, or a lot of them.
15
16
              I understand, Your Honor.
17
    DC:
18
19
              Do you have any impressions after reading of
20
              these briefs, other than, you know, a lot of
21
              reading?
22
```

```
Presiding Officer: No. Above my pay grade, I mean, the
1
2
              Supreme Court is going to tell us what to do.
3
              Okay. With regards to the oral questioning that
4
    DC:
              occurred in that case, the Khadr case----
5
6
7
    Presiding Officer: Yes.
8
9
              One question, unfortunately, I can't readily
    DC:
10
              direct you to that question, so I'll----
11
12
    Presiding Officer: Could you give me a page number and a
13
              line number?
14
15
    DC:
              Unfortunately I don't. It is a generalized
16
              question, so----
17
    Presiding Officer: Oh, okay.
18
19
20
    DC:
              You had indicated, and you can correct me if I am
21
              wrong, if I mistake your--your position, you
22
              indicated you were about to retire until you were
              asked to serve----
23
```

1 2 Presiding Officer: Correct. 3 4 ---as a Presiding Officer in this proceeding. DC: 5 May I ask, who asked you to serve as a Presiding 6 Officer? 7 Presiding Officer: Initially, I received -- this goes back 8 9 to when we were asked--when the services were 10 tasked to provide, I believe it was five 11 nominees, to be Presiding Officers, each service 12 was. I was asked, and I don't recall by whom. I think it would have been either -- I believe it was 13 14 the Deputy Director of the Judge Advocate 15 Division for the Marine Corps asked, and I 16 indicated I was and I filled that form out, it 17 was kind of a--it was a one-page thing that was 18 so cursory that I didn't find it particularly 19 helpful to anybody; but that's what they asked 20 for so that's what I gave them. And then I 21 didn't hear anything for--and I think it was 22 when--I believe it was when Hamdan was decided by

the D.C. circuit, then we--the--the services were

asked to validate those nominees, I think with an expectation that they were going to start moving forward. And so I got a call and I am sure that that second one was from the Deputy Director of the Judge Advocate Division, he is a colonel of Marines, and I validated it. Nothing had changed except my amount of service. And then, as I indicated, I got a call from Mr. Ι believe he was the Chief of Staff for Mr. Altenburg. He indicated that I had been selected; I wasn't asked if I wanted to serve; he had indicated I had been selected. I told him in return that I would be more than happy to serve except I was mandatory for retirement at the end of June. He then said he would go back to Mr. Altenburg to see if he--they wanted me to do it. He called me back, and I think this all happened within the same week. He said that Mr. Altenburg did and I recei--he said there was a piece of paper that he had to sign, the actual letter appointing me and I got that via--I can't remember if it was a fax or an attachment to an email but I got the hard copy in the mail a

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1		couple of weeks later. And as I indicated, in
2		the Khadr case there was never a time whenwhen
3		I was waiting to hear that I was actually being
4		considered. In fact, what had happened is I
5		called the Deputy Director of the Judge Advocate
6		Branch and asked him if there was any likelihood
7		that I would be asked to serve. He said, "No."
8		And then, again, I don't know that he was
9		speaking from any particular information or it
10		was just his sense from being up in the beltway.
11		He said, "No." I submitted my letter to retire.
12		I had gone through a couple or three, I think, of
13		the retirement seminars that you have to go
14		through to retire and then I got the call.
15		
16	DC:	You, umyou said something interesting. I may
17		have misheard you, so if I did, please correct
18		me. I thought I heard you say that your
19		mandatory retirement date is June.
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: Correct.
22		
23	DC:	Is that June '06?

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: Yeah, 29 June--30 June.
3
              Have you been extended or going to get extended?
    DC:
4
5
    Presiding Officer: I have been.
6
7
    DC:
              You have been?
8
9
    Presiding Officer: I will retire on 30 June, all right. I
10
              put a request, an administrative action form, an
11
              AA form, is what they call it in the Marine
12
              Corps, and asked to be continued for 1 year and
13
              Headquarters, Marine Corps, has approved that.
14
15
    DC:
              Got you.
16
17
    Presiding Officer: So I will retire 30 June of this year
18
              and be continued until 30 June '07 with a
19
              possibility and, again, depending on what happens
20
              on these Commissions, that I could ask to be
21
              extended again or continued again is the proper
22
              word, I think. That would have to be approved by
23
              the Secretary of the Navy.
```

1 2 When you retire, does that mean you fall into DC: retired reserve and you're activated from June on 3 or it has to stop----5 Presiding Officer: No, I go into a retired list, I think 6 7 it's that. I don't understand it. It is one of those things you never consider over a 30-year 9 career, but you go under a retired list, is my 10 understanding, and then all my status changes 11 from retired--it's done immediately, it's a unit 12 diary entry at Headquarters, Marine Corps, where 13 the status changesfrom--I am trying to remember 14 the term they use. I got a letter, a set of 15 orders, that said it was changed from the 16 inactive retired or something like that, though 17 I'm--but I'm just continued on active duty, so I 18 continue to draw the same pay and allowances as 19 colonel. In fact, the orders say that, that I 20 will be paid as a Colonel of the Marines. 21 22 I see. With regards to serving as a PO, DC:

Presiding Officer, do you know how many other

1		folks were asked to serve other than those that
2		are currently serving?
3		
4	Presiding	Officer: No, I don't know anything. The only
5		thing I haveinformation I have was thatthat
6		each service, when I say, "service," the Navy and
7		the Marine Corps are two different services for
8		purposes of thisthat each service was asked to
9		provide five nominees. The Marine Corps provided
10		five. I assume the other services did as well.
11		I guess my assumption has always been that those
12		who were asked said, yes, because the submission
13		of the names was voluntary. At least on the
14		Marine Corps side we were asked if we wanted to
15		do it and we said, "Yes." I mean, I don't think
16		anybody has been told they have to do it.
17		
18	DC:	Other than your qualifications as indicated on
19		your bio, do you know why you, or anybody else,
20		was asked to serve, you in particularly?
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: No. Neither Mr. nor Mr.
23		Altenburg talked to me. I was never given a pre-

1		hiring interview, if you will. The only thing I
2		ever did was submitted the questionnaireI think
3		we were asked to provide our last fitness report,
4		performance evaluation. And, I told the Deputy
5		Director that if somebody wanted that, they could
6		go pull my record. I wasn't going to do it. So
7		they may have looked at that, I don't know. And
8		I wouldI don't think anybody does anything in a
9		vacuum. I suspect that Mr. Altenburg talked to
10		perhaps the Director of the Judge Advocate
11		Branch, who knows me, the Deputy Director. I
12		mean I am assuming there was some discussion. I
13		guessthe thing they looked at was pretty
14		cursory, or the thing I filled out was pretty
15		cursory.
16		
17	DC:	The next set of questions are just some
18		generalized questions; they don't refer to
19		anything you have said and they generally relate
20		to the media.
21		

22 Presiding Officer: They what?

They generally relate to the media. 1 DC: 2 3 Presiding Officer: Oh, okay. 4 5 DC: As a general matter, do you regularly read a newspaper, Internet news, or any news magazines? 6 7 Presiding Officer: I don't take a newspaper or magazines. 8 9 I routinely, by "routinely," sometimes multiple 10 times during the day, will check out the Fox Internet site as well as CNN. I also have a 11 12 thing called a Life drive by Palm that has the ability to tap into the Internet. And there is a 13 14 thing called Abantgo, A-B-A-N-T-G-O.com, that is 15 not an advertisement, but it allows you to tap 16 into a whole series of news channels of Business 17 Week, Asia Pacific News, the Washington Post, the 18 Times, the New York Times, I believe MSNBC, 19 something to do with Palm Info. These are the 20 ones I tapped into that I routinely download. 21 Like everyday I will sync my Palm and it will 22 download those--the current articles on those

```
1
              websites and then when I get a chance I will read
2
              it.
3
4
    DC:
              And are you looking for anything in particular or
5
              just general news articles?
6
7
    Presiding Officer: Just reading the news, trying to stay
8
              abreast of what is going on in the world.
9
10
    DC:
              Do you not subscribe to a daily newspaper?
11
12
    Presiding Officer: I do not.
13
14
    DC:
          Or any magazines, Time or----
15
16
    Presiding Officer: I do not.
17
18
    DC:
              Do you watch any news on TV?
19
20
    Presiding Officer: Generally, I was the O'Reilly Factor
21
              until they become too obnoxious. I never watch
22
              Hennedy and Colmes. My wife likes to watch
23
              Greta. I prefer to turn it to Law and Order.
```

```
like to watch Brit Hume. I prefer not to watch
1
2
              those where people are rude to each other.
3
4
    DC:
              I understand. My question--that was a follow-up
              question, but you answered my follow-up question.
5
              But with regards to news, national news, I know
6
              Brit Hume is a national news caster, but the
7
              networks, ABC, NBC, CBS, and in the cable, CNN,
8
9
              or Fox, or----
10
    Presiding Officer: One thing I will do is I have a SIRIUS
11
              radio-thing in my car and I listen to the Fox
12
13
              News Channel on the way to and home from work
              sometimes.
14
15
16
    DC:
              That was my follow-up question.
17
    Presiding Officer: I don't generally don't listen to CNN,
18
              although I did watch Lou Dobbs last night.
19
20
              Okay, and when you listen to your SIRIUS radio,
21
    DC:
              do you----
22
```

1	Presiding	Officer: No, I don't listen to that
2		
3	DC:	I knowI know.
4		
5	Presiding	Officer: No, I don't listen to Howard.
6		
7	DC:	I am an XM man, myself, I would have sold you on
8		XM, but when you do listen to your satellite
9		radio, is there anythinghow often do you listen
10		to the news programs?
11		
12	Presiding	Officer: Probably everyday depending on what
13		time it is. As I said, I wouldn't listen to
14		Hennedy and Colmes, so if he was on or they would
15		on I would turn it to smooth jazz.
16		
17	DC:	Now as a follow-up then to your own practices and
18		procedures; during the course of the proceedings,
19		do you plan or intend on continuing your daily
20		routine news coverage or watch
21		
22	Presiding	Officer: No, Iwell yes. Because of what
23		hannened in Khadr I have actually gone out and

	looked for news stories on the Commissions
	because it became an issue in Khadr. There was a
	motion filed on it. So, I, at this point I think
	I think it is important to try to see what is
	being said out there to see if there is a problem
	so if there is one, I can raise it with counsel
	early on so that we can, if need be, take steps
	to protect the integrity of the proceeding.
DC:	For my own edification, if you could summarize
	what that issue was in the Khadr case?
Presiding	Officer: There was a press conference held here,
Presiding	Officer: There was a press conference held here, in which the defense first and followed by the
Presiding	
Presiding	in which the defense first and followed by the
Presiding	in which the defense first and followed by the Prosecution, specifically Colonel Davis, the
Presiding	in which the defense first and followed by the Prosecution, specifically Colonel Davis, the Chief Prosecutor, Mr. Ahmad from <a href="Khadr">Khadr</a> , and Major
Presiding	in which the defense first and followed by the Prosecution, specifically Colonel Davis, the Chief Prosecutor, Mr. Ahmad from <a href="Khadr">Khadr</a> , and Major Fleener from the <a href="mailto:al Bahlul">al Bahlul</a> case held a press
Presiding	in which the defense first and followed by the Prosecution, specifically Colonel Davis, the Chief Prosecutor, Mr. Ahmad from Khadr, and Major Fleener from the al Bahlul case held a press conference here. And the defense raised a motion
Presiding	in which the defense first and followed by the Prosecution, specifically Colonel Davis, the Chief Prosecutor, Mr. Ahmad from Khadr, and Major Fleener from the al Bahlul case held a press conference here. And the defense raised a motion asking that I in some way limit or take
Presiding	in which the defense first and followed by the Prosecution, specifically Colonel Davis, the Chief Prosecutor, Mr. Ahmad from Khadr, and Major Fleener from the al Bahlul case held a press conference here. And the defense raised a motion asking that I in some way limit or take corrective action because they thought the
	DC:

1		articles that had been written based on both that
2		press conference and then a whole bunch of, I
3		don't remember if they were letters or interviews
4		that the defense had given or provided in the
5		Khadr case, specifically Mr. Ahmad and Mr.
6		Wilson, who wereMr. Wilson was of counselwas
7		not on the record in $\underline{Khadr}$ at point but $Mr.$ Ahmad
8		was, but they both had written articles or given
9		interviews or something where they had talked
10		about the proceedings. So, I was given a very
11		significant number of those to read through,
12		which I did, because it was necessary to decide
13		the motion. I believe they are all markedall
14		part of the record.
15		
16	DC:	I understand. So will you continue to read then,
17		based on your experience with the Khadr case?
18		
19	Presiding	Officer: I will make efforts to try to have a
20		sense of what is being said in the press because
21		I think it is important and became important
22		because both sides are entitled to a full and
23		fair trial. If the press accounts become such,

1 and I have already issued a prophylactic order to 2 all the members not to read anything and to stay 3 away from the press with anything dealing with 4 these Commissions, but it is important that when 5 they come in here, they have an open mind as to the issues that may be presented and that they 6 are asked to decide. So I believe that is 7 8 important, to at least have some sense of what is 9 going on in the press.

10

11 DC: Okay, thank you. One last question, but then, 12 there may be some follow-up questions. regards to the questionnaire, just a general 13 14 question that is separate, you had indicated that 15 you had no knowledge as to my client, Abdul 16 Zahir, and as a follow-up question I am just 17 going to specify the wording on that, because it 18 may have been too broad. I am going to ask you 19 that, other than the pleadings submitted in this 20 case, have you read, seen, or heard, anything 21 that mentions my client?

22

23 Presiding Officer: Yes.

1 2 Okay, can you tell me what that is? DC: 3 Presiding Officer: The only thing that I remember reading 4 5 is when the Pentagon released the names of all of 6 the detainees here in Guantanamo, my 7 understanding is there were multiple thousands of They didn't just give a, here is a list pages. of names; they released the names in the context 9 of some combatant review status, I think is the 10 11 term they used, boards. 12 13 DC: Uh-huh. 14 15 Presiding Officer: And I believe your client was mentioned 16 in several articles because they talked of--there 17 was excepts from what he was alleged to have done or something. Again, I don't remember 18 19 specifically what was said. I didn't pay that 20 much attention. I just saw his name in some of 21 the articles. 22

```
1
   DC:
            Okay, based on what you read, will it have any
2
             bearing on the way you----
3
    Presiding Officer: No.
4
5
6
   DC:
            ----rule from the bench?
7
8
    Presiding Officer: No. I don't even remember what was
9
              said. I didn't pay that close attention.
10
11
   DC: If I may have 1 minute with my client?
12
13
    Presiding Officer: Sure.
14
    [The DC conferred with the ACC.]
15
16
17
    DC:
             Yes, Your Honor, one follow-up question. You
18
              indicated earlier that your experiences in
19
              Afghanistan, and that some of that----
20
21
    Presiding Officer: I am sorry, my what?
22
23
   DC:
             While you were in Afghanistan?
```

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: I have never been to Afghanistan.
3
4
    DC:
              You opined on Afghanistan? You wrote a memo?
5
6
    Presiding Officer: Oh, oh, oh, no. I haven't been to--I
7
              wrote a--a CHOP, probably more accurate, a legal
              paper on women serving in combat and whether that
8
9
              was permitted or not.
10
11
              And that was related to Afghanistan?
    DC:
12
13
    Presiding Officer: Correct. It was provided to,
14
              ultimately to the Chief of Staff for General
15
              Mattis. I am sure General Mattis was briefed on
16
              it while he was there, actually at Camp Rhino,
17
              which was the forward operating base that they
18
              established in Afghanistan.
19
20
              So you had never been to Afghanistan?
    DC:
21
22
    Presiding Officer: I have never been to Afghanistan.
```

1	DC:	Have you been to Iraq?
2		
3	Presiding	Officer: Yes. I spent about 2 and half months
4		during Operation Iraqi Freedom 2 as a judge over
5		there. I didn't go into Iraq during the first
6		Gulf War. I made it up into Kuwait during the
7		first Gulf War. I spent 6 months over there
8		during that as the Deputy SJA for 2nd Marine
9		Division.
10		
11	DC:	Was that the last time you were in Iraq, or were
12		you in OIF 1?
13		
14	Presiding	Officer: No, I have only been to Iraq once,
15		during OIF 2. Again, that was as a judge.
16		
17	DC:	Based upon your presence in Iraq and your,
18		presumably you had some interaction with some
19		local people?
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: Yes, there was aI assume they were
22		locals. I didn't check their nationality but
23		there werewe were primarily located at al

```
Takatum, and then we would go out to Faluja, al
1
              Asad, down into Kuwait, and while in al Asad or
2
              al Takatum, there was a, like a store,
3
              restaurant-type thing and I bought a power strip
4
              and a power converter or something so I could
5
              change the 220 to 110, 50 phase to 60 phase.
6
7
8
    DC:
             And that----
9
    Presiding Officer: I think that was all I----
10
11
12
    DC:
              And that was in Iraq?
13
14
    Presiding Officer: Yes.
15
              Presumably then the salesmen or the store keep
16
    DC:
17
              was and Iraqi?
18
19
    Presiding Officer: I guess.
20
21
              Okay.
    DC:
22
```

1	Presiding	Officer: There was also some work parties that I
2		am sure were Iraqi that were eating near myI
3		stayed in a place we calledI called it the
4		"Krack House." It was a building that had some
5		bombs hit near it so the walls were full of
6		cracks. I called it the "Krack House." We
7		spelled it different. They were working near it
8		and they offered to let me sit down and eat with
9		them and I declined the opportunity.
10		
11	DC:	Well based on your experiences in the Mid-East
12		and Southwest Asia, and the local folks there,
13		would any of those experiences have any effect on
14		your viewpoint towards my client?
15		
16	Presiding	Officer: No.
17		
18	DC:	Or any people of thatfrom the MidSouthwest
19		Asia?
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: No, like I said, I had very little
22		contact with them. Not enough to form an
23		impression.

```
1
2
   DC:
             Okay, no further questions.
3
    Presiding Officer: Do you have any questions?
4
5
6
    PROS:
             No, sir.
7
    Presiding Officer: Do you want to take about a 10-minute
8
9
              recess? Let's take 10 minutes because we have
10
              been on the record quite a while.
11
    The Commission hearing recessed at 1025, 4 April 2006.
12
13
    The Commission hearing was called to order at 1041, 4 April
14
15
    2006.
16
17
    Presiding Officer: The Commission will come to order.
              All those present when we recessed are again
18
19
              present.
20
21
              Government, challenges?
22
23
              None, sir.
    PROS:
```

1		
2	Presiding	Officer: Defense?
3		
4	DC:	At this point, Your Honor, the defense asks the
5		court if we could defer any challenges pending
6		the <u>Khadr</u> voir dire, which is scheduled, I
7		understand, for this afternoon and into tomorrow.
8		
9	Presiding	Officer: It is going to be put off now. I just
10		approved a defense request for a continuance
11		until tomorrow morning in Khadr.
12		
13	DC:	If we may then, following Khadr, if I may make
14		motions or challenges at that point in time?
15		
16	Presiding	Officer: No. What I will allow you to do though
17		is if something comes up that you think is
18		sufficiently important, you may ask to reopen the
19		voir dire.
20		
21	DC:	Thank you. Very well, and if I may then also
22		incorporate those, if I request it and with your

```
approval, any voir dire from Khadr to this
1
2
              proceeding if anything is relevant?
3
    Presiding Officer: You may.
4
5
6
    DC:
              Thank you, then at this point I have no
7
              challenges.
8
9
    Presiding Officer: All right, thank you.
10
11
              It appears that at this point all parties to the
12
              proceedings have the requisite qualification and
13
              have been sworn. Defense Counsel, you have
14
              indicated that you are ready to proceed even
15
              though you don't have a copy of the charges in
16
              Farsi, is that correct?
17
18
              At this point, yes, sir.
    DC:
19
20
    Presiding Officer: Do you desire that the charges be read?
21
22
    DC:
              No, sir. We will waive the reading of the
23
              charges.
```

```
1
2
    Presiding Officer: All right, the reading will be waived.
3
              There were three protective orders issued in this
4
              case and they have been attached as Review
5
              Exhibits. Do both parties understand the
6
              protective orders and is there anything there
7
              that we need to address at this point?
8
9
    PROS:
             No, sir.
10
11
    DC:
             No, sir.
12
13
    Presiding Officer: And both parties understand the
14
              provisions of Military Commission Order Number 1
15
              as it governs protected information?
16
17
             Yes, sir.
    DC:
18
19
    PROS:
          Yes, sir.
20
21
    Presiding Officer: Both parties understand their
22
              obligations to inform me should they intend to
```

```
get into protected information here in open
1
2
              court?
3
4
    PROS:
              Yes, sir.
5
6
    DC:
              Yes, sir.
7
    Presiding Officer: Is either party aware of any other
8
9
              protective orders that might govern these
10
              proceedings other than the three that I have
11
              issued?
12
13
              No, sir.
    PROS:
14
15
              No, sir.
    DC:
16
17
    Presiding Officer: If there is anything that comes up,
18
              particularly, Colonel Bogar, if you do request or
19
              do think that you need some relief from one of
20
              those or more of those protective orders, I would
21
              ask you to please approach the Prosecution first,
22
              attempt to resolve it, and then if need be,
23
              approach me.
```

```
1
2
   DC:
             Yes, sir.
3
    Presiding Officer: And all of the Presiding Officer
4
              memorandums that are in effect to date remain in
5
              effect. I believe we have eliminated the
6
              requirement to attach those to the record, but
7
8
              you do have a copy of those?
9
             Yes, sir.
10
    DC:
11
    Presiding Officer: Government, you do have a copy of them
12
              as well?
13
14
15
    PROS:
              Yes, sir.
16
    Presiding Officer: We talked at the 8-5 and I quite
17
              frankly don't remember if it was this morning or
18
              yesterday, I think it was yesterday, about
19
              motions, pleas, and the defense, you have
20
              indicated that you wanted to reserve both pleas
21
              and motions, is that correct?
22
```

23

1 DC: That is correct, Your Honor. 2 3 Presiding Officer: And I indicated I would allow you to do that. I will, however, ask or call, rather, for 4 5 pleas by your client. I will not ask that you 6 enter those pleas at this time, all right? 7 8 Your Honor, if I may ask that you speak a little DC: 9 slower. I have been told it is a little 10 confusing. 11 12 Presiding Officer: Mr. Zahir, at this point I am going to ask that you enter pleas as to the charges 13 14 against you. I am not going to require that you 15 answer that call for pleas. Your defense counsel 16 has asked to reserve that, in other words, enter 17 those pleas at a later date, and I have given him 18 permission to do so. Do you understand that. 19 20 ACC: Yes.

21

1 Presiding Officer: Additionally, I have given him leave, permission to file motions on your behalf at a 2 later date. Do you understand that? 3 4 5 ACC: Yes. 6 Presiding Officer: At this time, Mr. Abdul Zahir, I ask 7 you, how you plead, again, I will allow you 8 9 reserve those pleas. 10 [The Accused remained silent.] 11 12 Presiding Officer: All right. This morning at our 8-5 we 13 14 talked about our schedule for future proceedings. I was provided a, basically a handwritten form 15 16 that addresses those dates. We agreed, and it is 17 my understanding that the counsel intend to go to 18 for preparation and that they will 19 return And I have 20 indicated that while they are gone, they are to 21 discuss it amongst themselves and arrive at a 22 proposed trial date, and by trial date, I am 23 talking about when we will actually seat the

members of the Commission and begin the presentation of evidence in the case. In the event that counsel cannot arrive at a mutually agreeable time, or date rather, or a date that is satisfactory to myself, I have indicated that we will return here during the week of 10 July to litigate the issue of when we will actually set the trial date. I fully anticipate we will not have to do that.

Counsel also agreed that we would litigate the law motions during the trial term that will go during the week of 21 August and that we will return during the week of 11 September, during that trial term, and we will litigate all the remaining motions.

As to the other dates that are contained on the exhibit, which are basically filing deadlines, I will ask counsel to reduce this to a more suitable format, in other words, typed, and provided to the Clerk or the, rather the assistant to the Presiding Officer as well as the

```
1
              court reporter for inclusion in the record as a
2
              review exhibit.
3
              I would also note that we were to litigate any
              motion that the defense had with respect to
5
              discovery, and I believe we were to do that
6
7
              today. Colonel Bogar, you indicated you have no
              motion, is that correct?
8
9
10
              That is correct, Your Honor, and just to clarify
    DC:
11
              what you just said, it was as the discovery
12
              order.
13
14
    Presiding Officer: The discovery order.
15
16
    DC:
              And we have no motion as to the discovery order.
17
18
    Presiding Officer: Correct, although there may be motions
19
              with respect to discovery itself?
20
21
    DC:
             Yes, sir.
22
```

1	Presiding	Officer: All right. As to discovery and the due
2		dates, the counsel have indicated a willingness
3		to work with those dates between themselves and
4		just keep the Presiding Officer informed, and I
5		have agreed to that procedure. As to the dates
6		for filing of motions and responses, as well as
7		replies, counsel have also indicated a
8		willingness to work with each other. Should
9		either side need to slide the filing deadline a
0		day or so out and I have agreed to that, again,
1		so long as they keep myself informed. If there
2		does become a problem, counsel will let me know,
13		again vie email.
14		
15		I have also indicated during that 8-5 a
16		willingness on my part, if we need to do an 8-5
17		to resolve some issue that we can do that
18		telephonically and I have provided my work number
19		to the counsel.
20		
21		I believe that is all we have to take up today.
22		Does either side have any additional matters they
73		want to raise?

```
PROS: No, sir.

DC: No, sir.

Presiding Officer: Then until the next session, the
Commission will be in recess.

The Commission hearing recessed at 1052, 4 April 2006.
```

## AUTHENTICATION OF FINAL SESSION TRANSCRIPT

in the case of:

United States v. Abdul Zahir a/k/a/ Abdul Bari

This is to certify that the Pages 1 through 75 (includes this Authentication Page) are an accurate and verbatim transcript of the proceedings held in the above styled case on April 4, 2006.

> Robert S. Chester Colonel, USMC

274 pg 06

2006. 3 4 [Throughout this transcript, Colonel Robert S. Chester, 5 U.S. Marine Corps, will be referred to as the Presiding Officer or PO. Major U.S. Army, will be 6 7 referred to as Assistant Prosecutor 1 or APROS1 Lieutenant 8 Colonel Thomas J. Bogar, U.S. Army Reserve, will be 9 referred to as Defense Counsel or DC.1 10 11 Presiding Officer: The Commission will come to order. 12 All those present when we recessed are again 13 present with the exception on the trial team; 14 we're missing some folks. Major 15 explain the absence for us? 16 17 APROS1 Yes, sir. At our previous session we had Captain 18 and Captain they were previously 19 excused by the Presiding Officer. Lieutenant 20 Trivett, who was not here at the last session, 21 has a continuing excusal from this session. 22 23 Presiding Officer: All right, and you also have Sergeant 24 [sic] there with you at the counsel table?

The Commissions Hearing was called to order at 0915, 17 May

1

1		
2	APROS1	Yes, sir, Sergeant is here at the counsel
3		table.
4		
5	Presiding	Officer: I'm sorry.
6	APROS1:	Yes, sir, he will not represent the government,
7		but will be assisting the government in the
8		prosecution.
9		
10	Presiding	Officer: All right, we have a new court reporter
11		for today's session and she has been previously
12		sworn and I believe that we have a new security
13		officer and he has been sworn; and we do have for
14		this session Commission translators and they have
15		been sworn and their Curriculum Vitae is attached
16		to the record; correct?
17		
18	APROS1	Yes, sir, RE-34 and 35, and they have been sworn,
19		sir.
20		
21	Presiding	Officer: All right. During the week of 01 May,
22		the defense advised of its intent to raise a
23		motion concerning the accused's pretrial
24		confinement. Subsequently the defense submitted a

1		motion seeking movement of the accused from Camp						
2		5 to Camp 4; that is marked as RE-30, and the						
3		prosecution response is marked as RE-32. RE-31						
4		contains the defense witness request and the						
5		prosecution's response as well as the defense						
6		reply seeking production of a witness and certain						
7		documentary evidence that was to be considered in						
8		support of the defense motion.						
9								
10		Yesterday the defense informed me that they						
11		intended to withdraw their motion. I indicated						
12		my intent that we would do that on the record.						
13		Lieutenant Colonel Bogar, is it your intent to						
14		withdraw that motion?						
15								
16	DC:	Yes, Your Honor.						
17	Presiding	Officer: And in withdrawing that are you						
18		satisfied that the movement of your client and						
19		the current conditions of his confinement are not						
20		preventing you from preparing and presenting a						
21		defense in this case?						
22								
23	DC:	If I may restate that, Your Honor, the facts as						
24		alleged in the motion supporting the premise for						

1		the motion are no longer relevant and as such
2		with regards to the one issue with regards to my
3		clients and my relationship professional
4		relationship; so far we're good. So the answer
5		is, at this point in time, our relationship is
6		not strained by his continued internment in Camp
7		5.
8		
9	Presiding	Officer: All right, let me ask the question
10		again because I appreciate that. At this point
11		in time, the conditions of his confinement are
12		not preventing you from preparing a defense in
13		this case; is that correct?
14		
15	DC: Corre	ect.
16	Presiding	Officer: And the conditions of confinement at
17		this point in time do not preclude or prevent
18		your client from effectively participating in his
19		own defense; is that correct?
20		
21	DC:	Correct, and if I might add, they do not affect
22		our professional relationship.
23		

1	Presiding	Officer: All right, and in preparing your motion
2		did you have access to all the necessary
3		witnesses and evidence that you sought?
4		
5	DC:	One of the allegations in the motion was I did
6		not have access to one of the witnesses, but
7		since we spoke yesterday, I have spoken with that
8		witness so the answer is yes, as of today I do; I
9		have had access and I'm satisfied with the
10		response.
11		
12	Presidi	ng Officer: And are you withdrawing this motion
13		after consulting with your client and do you
14		believe that withdrawal is in his best interest?
15	DC:	After consulting with my client, yes I do.
16		
17	Presidi	ng Officer: All right. The motion then is
18		withdrawn. Is there anything else that either
19		side desires to raise?
20		
21	APROS1	Not from the prosecution, sir.
22		
23	Presiding	Officer: Colonel Bogar?
24		

7 8			[EN	ND OF PA	GE]				
6									
5	The Commis	ssion hear	ring	recesse	ed at	0920,	17	May	2006
4									
3	Presiding	Officer:	All	right,	then	we're	in	rece	ess.
2									
1	DC:	Negative	, Υοι	ır Honoı	r.				

## AUTHENTICATION OF FINAL SESSION TRANSCRIPT

in the case of:

United States v. Abdul Zahir a/k/a/ Abdul Bari

This is to certify that the Pages <u>76</u> through <u>82</u> (includes this Authentication Page) are an accurate and verbatim transcript of the proceedings held in the above styled case on <u>May 17, 2006</u>.

R. S. Chester Colonel, USMC

DATE